arXiv:1211.1940v1 [math.OC] 8 Nov 2012

POLYNOMIAL OPTIMIZATION WITH REAL VARIETIES

JIAWANG NIE

ABSTRACT. We study the optimization problem

$$\min \quad f(x) \quad s.t. \quad h(x)=0,\ g(x)\geq 0$$

with f a polynomial and h, g two tuples of polynomials in $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Lasserre's hierarchy is a sequence of sum of squares relaxations for finding the global minimum f_{min}. Let K be the feasible set. We prove the following results: i) If the real variety $V_{\mathbb{R}}(h)$ is finite, then Lasserre's hierarchy has finite convergence, no matter the complex variety $V_{\mathbb{C}}(h)$ is finite or not. This solves an open question in Laurent's survey [7]. ii) If K and $V_{\mathbb{R}}(h)$ have the same vanishing ideal, then the finite convergence of Lasserre's hierarchy is independent of the choice of defining polynomials for the real variety $V_{\mathbb{R}}(h)$. iii) When K is finite, a refined version of Lasserre's hierarchy (using the preordering of g) has finite convergence.

1. INTRODUCTION

Consider the polynomial optimization problem

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rl} f_{min} := \min & f(x) \\ s.t. & h_i(x)=0\,(i=1,\ldots,m_1), \\ & g_j(x)\geq 0\,(j=1,\ldots,m_2), \end{array}\right. \tag{1.1}$$

where f and all g_i, h_j are polynomials in $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Let K be the feasible set of (1.1). A standard approach for solving (1.1) globally is the *Lasserre's hierarchy* of sum of squares (SOS) relaxations [3]. We first give a short review about this. Let $\mathbb{R}[x]$ be the ring of polynomials with real coefficients and in variables $x := (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$. A polynomial f is SOS if there exist $f_1, \ldots, f_k \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ such that $f = f_1^2 + \cdots + f_k^2$. A subset I of $\mathbb{R}[x]$ is an ideal if $I + I \subseteq I$ and $I \cdot \mathbb{R}[x] \subseteq I$. Denote $h := (h_1, \ldots, h_{m_1})$ and $g := (g_1, \ldots, g_{m_2})$. The tuple h generates the ideal $h_1\mathbb{R}[x] + \cdots + h_{m_1}\mathbb{R}[x]$, which is denoted by $\langle h_1, \ldots, h_{m_1}\rangle$. The $2k$-th *truncated ideal* generated by h is

$$\langle h\rangle_{2k} := \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{m_1} \phi_i h_i \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{c} \text{each } \phi_i \in \mathbb{R}[x] \\ \text{and } \deg(\phi_i h_i) \leq 2k \end{array} \right\},$$

and the k-th *truncated quadratic module* generated by g is (denote $g_0 = 1$)

$$Q_k(g) := \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{m_2} \sigma_j g_j \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{c} \text{each } \sigma_j \in \mathbb{R}[x] \text{ is SOS} \\ \text{and } \deg(\sigma_j g_j) \leq 2k \end{array} \right\}.$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 65K05, 90C22.

Key words and phrases. polynomials, finite convergence, Lasserre's hierarchy, real variety, semidefinite program, sum of squares.

The research was partially supported by the NSF grant DMS-0844775.

The union $Q(g) := \bigcup_{k\geq 0} Q_k(g)$ is called the *quadratic module* generated by g. Lasserre's hierarchy for (1.1) is the sequence of SOS programs ($k \in \mathbb{N}$ is called a *relaxation order*):

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rl} f_k := \max & \gamma \\ \text{s.t.} & f - \gamma = \phi + \sigma,\ \phi \in \langle h \rangle_{2k},\ \sigma \in Q_k(g). \end{array} \right. \tag{1.2}$$

The SOS program (1.2) is equivalent to a semidefinite program (SDP) [3].

Next, we describe the dual optimization problem of (1.2). Let y be a sequence indexed by $\alpha := (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ ($\mathbb{N}$ is the set of nonnegative integers) with $|\alpha| := \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n \leq 2k$, i.e., y is a *truncated moment sequence (tms)* of degree $2k$. Denote by $\mathscr{M}_{2k}$ the space of all tms' whose degrees are $2k$. For convenience, denote $d_j := \lceil \deg(g_j)/2 \rceil$ ($\lceil a \rceil$ is the smallest integer that is not smaller than a), $x^\alpha := x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n}$ and

$$[x]_t := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_1 \cdots x_n & x_1^2 & x_1x_2 & \cdots & x_1^t & \cdots & x_n^t \end{bmatrix}^T.$$

For each $k \geq d_j$, expand the product $g_j[x]_{k-d_j}[x^T_{k-d_j}$ as

$$g_j[x]_{k-d_j}[x^T_{k-d_j} = \sum_{|\alpha|\leq 2k} A_\alpha^{(k,j)} x^\alpha,$$

and we define the *localizing matrix* $L_{g_j}^{(k)}(y)$ as

$$L_{g_j}^{(k)}(y) = \sum_{|\alpha|\leq 2k} A_\alpha^{(k,j)} y_\alpha.$$

For $g_0 = 1$, $M_k(y) := L_1^{(k)}(y)$ is called a *moment matrix.* The columns and rows of $L_{g_j}^{(k)}(y)$ are indexed by vectors $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ with $|\alpha| \leq k - d_j$. The dual optimization problem of (1.2) is (cf. [3, 4, 7])

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rl} f_k^* := \min\limits_{y \in \mathscr{M}_{2k}} & \langle f, y \rangle \\ \text{s.t.} & L_{h_i}^{(k)}(y) = 0\ (i = 1, \ldots, m_1),\ y_0 = 1, \\ & L_{g_j}^{(k)}(y) \succeq 0\ (j = 0, 1, \ldots, m_2). \end{array} \right. \tag{1.3}$$

In the above, $X \succeq 0$ means the matrix X is positive semidefinite.

Let f_{min}, f_k, f_k^*, respectively, be the optimal value of (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3). It is known that $f_k \leq f_k^* \leq f_{min}$ for every k. The sequences $\{f_k\}$ and $\{f_k^*\}$ are both monotonically increasing. If K has nonempty interior, then (1.3) has an interior point, (1.2) achieves its optimal value and $f_k^* = f_k$, i.e., there is no duality gap between (1.2) and (1.3) (cf. [3]). Under the archimedean condition (there exists $N > 0$ such that $N - x^T x \in \langle h \rangle + Q(g)$), Lasserre proved the asymptotic convergence $f_k \to f_{min}$ as $k \to \infty$. The proof uses Putinar's Positivstellensatz [16]. We refer to Lasserre's book [4], Laurent's survey [7] and Marshall's book [10] for the work in this area.

When $f_k = f_{min}$ for some k, we say Lasserre's hierarchy has *finite convergence.* An appropriate criteria for checking finite convergence of $\{f_k\}$ is flat truncation, as shown in [15]. For the tuple $h = (h_1, \ldots, h_{m_1})$, define the complex and real algebraic varieties respectively as

$$V_{\mathbb{C}}(h) = \{x \in \mathbb{C}^n : h(x) = 0\}, \quad V_{\mathbb{R}}(h) = V_{\mathbb{C}}(h) \cap \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{1.4}$$

When the complex variety $V_{\mathbb{C}}(h)$ is a finite set, Laurent [6] proved that $\{f_k\}$ has finite convergence to f_{min}. When the real variety $V_{\mathbb{R}}(h)$ is a finite set, Laurent

[7, Theorem 6.15] proved that $\{f_k^*\}$ has finite convergence to f_{min}. In the case that $V_{\mathbb{R}}(h)$ is finite but $V_{\mathbb{C}}(h)$ is infinite, it was unknown whether $\{f_k\}$ has finite convergence to f_{min} or not. Indeed, Laurent [7, Question 6.17] asked:

> Does there exist an example with $|V_{\mathbb{C}}(h)| = \infty$, $|V_{\mathbb{R}}(h)| < \infty$ and where $f_k < f_{min}$ for all k?

This question was also asked by Laurent in the workshop *Positive Polynomials and Optimization* (Banff, Canada, 2006), and remained open since then, in the author's best knowledge. Semidefinite relaxations are also very useful for solving zero-dimensional polynomial systems. We refer to [5, 8].

Our first main result is to give a negative answer to the above question. We prove that if $V_{\mathbb{R}}(h)$ is finite then $f_k = f_{min}$ for all k big enough, no matter $V_{\mathbb{C}}(h)$ is finite or not. This is summarized as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $f, h_1, \dots, h_{m_1}, g_1, \dots, g_{m_2}$ be polynomials in $\mathbb{R}[x]$. Let f_k be the optimal value of (1.2) with order k, and f_{min} be minimum value of (1.1). If the real variety $V_{\mathbb{R}}(h)$ is finite, then $f_k = f_{min}$ for all k big enough.*

The real variety $V_{\mathbb{R}}(h)$ can be defined by different sets of polynomials, e.g., it can be defined by a single equation like

$$h_1^2(x) + \cdots + h_{m_1}^2(x) = 0.$$

Suppose $h' = (h_1', \dots, h_r')$ is a different tuple of polynomials such that $V_{\mathbb{R}}(h') = V_{\mathbb{R}}(h)$. Then (1.1) is equivalent to

$$\min \quad f(x) \quad s.t. \quad h'(x) = 0, \quad g(x) \geq 0. \tag{1.5}$$

Like $\langle h \rangle_{2k}$, we can similarly define the truncated ideal $\langle h' \rangle_{2k}$. Then the Lasserre's hierarchy of SOS programs for (1.5) is

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rl} f_k' := \max & \gamma \\ \text{s.t.} & f - \gamma = \phi + \sigma,\ \phi \in \langle h' \rangle_{2k},\ \sigma \in Q_k(g). \end{array} \right. \tag{1.6}$$

Similarly, we also have $f_k' \leq f_{min}$ for all k. The following two questions are natural about the two sequences $\{f_k\}$ and $\{f_k'\}$:

- If $\{f_k\}$ has finite convergence to f_{min}, does $\{f_k'\}$ necessarily have finite convergence to f_{min}?
- If $\{f_k\}$ has no finite convergence to f_{min}, is it possible that $\{f_k'\}$ has finite convergence to f_{min}?

They were studied very little in the literature.

When the real variety $V_{\mathbb{R}}(h)$ is finite, by Theorem 1.1, the above two questions are solved: the finite convergence of Lasserre's hierarchy is independent of the choice of defining polynomials for the real variety $V_{\mathbb{R}}(h)$. When $V_{\mathbb{R}}(h)$ is infinite, do we have a similar result? Indeed, this is true under a general condition on $V_{\mathbb{R}}(h)$ and K (K is the feasible set of (1.1)). The vanishing ideal of K is

$$I(K) := \{p \in \mathbb{R}[x] : p(u) = 0 \, \forall \, u \in K\}.$$

The vanishing ideal of the real variety $V_{\mathbb{R}}(h)$ is then

$$I(V_{\mathbb{R}}(h)) := \{p \in \mathbb{R}[x] : p(u) = 0 \, \forall \, u \in V_{\mathbb{R}}(h)\}.$$

The ideal $I(V_{\mathbb{R}}(h))$ is also called the *real radical* of $\langle h \rangle$ (cf. [1]).

Our second main result is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $h' = (h'_1, \dots, h'_r)$ be a tuple of polynomials in $\mathbb{R}[x]$ such that $V_{\mathbb{R}}(h) = V_{\mathbb{R}}(h')$, and f_k, f'_k, f_{min} be defined as above. Suppose $I(K) = I(V_{\mathbb{R}}(h))$. Then, the sequence $\{f_k\}$ has finite convergence to f_{min} and if and only if $\{f'_k\}$ has finite convergence to f_{min}.*

In Theorem 1.2, the condition $I(K) = I(V_{\mathbb{R}}(h))$ implies that if a polynomial p identically vanishes on K then it also identically vanishes on $V_{\mathbb{R}}(h)$. It essentially requires that the feasible set K and the real variety $V_{\mathbb{R}}(h)$ have the same Zariski closure. This is often satisfied.

We would like to remark that there does not exist a similar result like Theorem 1.2 for the case of inequalities. That is, the choice of inequality constraining polynomials g_j might affect finite convergence of Lasserre's hierarchy, while the feasible set K is not changed. For instance, consider the problem

$$\min_{x\in\mathbb{R}} \quad 1 - x^2 \quad s.t. \quad 1 - x^2 \geq 0.$$

Clearly, the Lasserre's hierarchy for the above converges in one step, and the problem is equivalent to

$$\min_{x\in\mathbb{R}} \quad 1 - x^2 \quad s.t. \quad (1 - x^2)^3 \geq 0.$$

However, the Lasserre's sequence $\{f_k\}$ of lower bounds for the above new formulation does not have finite convergence. Indeed, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that $f_k \leq -Ck^{-2}$ for all k. This is implied by Theorem 4 of Stengle [19].

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 is mostly to prove Theorem 1.1; Section 3 is mostly to prove Theorem 1.2; Section 4 proves that if only the feasible set K is finite, then a refined version of Lasserre's hierarchy has finite convergence, which uses the preordering of the tuple g.

2. Optimization with finite real varieties

This section is mostly to prove Theorem 1.1. We begin with a useful lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $p, q \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ and $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}[x]$ be an ideal such that $p^{2\ell} + q \in I$ for an integer $\ell > 0$. Then, for all*

$$c \geq c_0 := \frac{1}{2\ell}\left(1 - \frac{1}{2\ell}\right)^{2\ell-1},$$

the univariate polynomial $s_c(t) := 1 + t + ct^{2\ell}$ is SOS, and, for every $\epsilon > 0$,

$$p + \epsilon - \left[\epsilon s_c(p/\epsilon) + c\epsilon^{1-2\ell} q\right] = -c\epsilon^{1-2\ell}(p^{2\ell} + q) \in I.$$

Proof. For all $c > 0$, the univariate polynomial $s_c(t)$ is convex in t over the real line $\mathbb{R}$ and $s'_c(t) = 1 + 2\ell c t^{2\ell-1}$. The polynomial s_c has a unique real critical point $\xi := \left(\frac{-1}{2\ell c}\right)^{\frac{1}{2\ell-1}}$. Note that

$$s_c(\xi) = 1 + \left(\frac{-1}{2\ell c}\right)^{\frac{1}{2\ell-1}}\left(1 - \frac{1}{2\ell}\right).$$

It can be verified that $s_c(\xi) \geq 0$ if and only if $c \geq c_0$. So, when $c \geq c_0$, the univariate polynomial s_c is nonnegative over $\mathbb{R}$ (because $s_c(\xi) \geq 0$, $s'_c(\xi) = 0$ and s_c is convex), and it must be SOS (cf. [17]). Clearly, we have

$$p + \epsilon - \left[\epsilon s_c(p/\epsilon) + c\epsilon^{1-2\ell} q\right] = -c\epsilon^{1-2\ell}(p^{2\ell} + q).$$

The proof is completed by using the condition $p^{2\ell} + q \in I$. □

Theorem 1.1 can be proved by using Lemma 2.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Since $V_{\mathbb{R}}(h)$ is finite, we can write $V_{\mathbb{R}}(h) = \{u_1, \dots, u_N\}$ for $u_1, \dots, u_N \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Let $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_N \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ be the interpolating polynomials satisfying

$$\varphi_i(u_j) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \neq j, \\ 1 & \text{if } i = j. \end{cases}$$

For each u_i, if $f(u_i) - f_{min} \geq 0$, let $a_i := (f(u_i) - f_{min})\varphi_i^2$. If $f(u_i) - f_{min} < 0$, then at least one of $g_1(u_i), \dots, g_{m_2}(u_i)$ is negative, say, $g_{j_i}(u_i) < 0$, and let

$$a_i := \left(\frac{f(u_i) - f_{min}}{g_{j_i}(u_i)} \right) g_{j_i} \varphi_i^2.$$

Let $\sigma_1 := a_1 + \cdots + a_N$. Clearly, $\sigma_1 \in Q_{N_1}(g)$ for some N_1. The polynomial

$$\hat{f} := f - f_{min} - \sigma_1$$

vanishes identically on $V_{\mathbb{R}}(h)$. By Real Nullstellensatz (cf. [1, Corollary 4.1.8]), there exist an integer $\ell > 0$ and an SOS polynomial $\sigma_2 \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ such that

$$\hat{f}^{2\ell} + \sigma_2 \in \langle h \rangle.$$

Let $c > 0$ be a constant satisfying Lemma 2.1. For $\epsilon > 0$, let

$$\sigma_\epsilon := \epsilon s_c(\hat{f}/\epsilon) + c\epsilon^{1-2\ell}\sigma_2 + \sigma_1.$$

By Lemma 2.1, the polynomial $s_c(\hat{f}/\epsilon)$ is SOS and its degree is independent of $\epsilon > 0$. So, if $N_1 > 0$ is big enough, then $\sigma_\epsilon \in Q_{N_1}(g)$ for all $\epsilon > 0$. Note that

$$f - (f_{min} - \epsilon) = \hat{f} + \epsilon + \sigma_1.$$

By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\phi_\epsilon := \hat{f} + \epsilon + \sigma_1 - \sigma_\epsilon = -c\epsilon^{1-2\ell}(\hat{f}^{2\ell} + \sigma_2) \in \langle h \rangle.$$

So, there exists $N_2 > 0$ such that $\phi_\epsilon \in \langle h \rangle_{2N_2}$ for all $\epsilon > 0$. Note that

$$f - (f_{min} - \epsilon) = \phi_\epsilon + \sigma_\epsilon.$$

If $k \geq N_3 := \max(N_1, N_2)$, we have $\sigma_\epsilon \in Q_k(g)$ and $\phi_\epsilon \in \langle h \rangle_{2k}$ for all $\epsilon > 0$. So, $f_k \geq f_{min} - \epsilon$ for all $\epsilon > 0$ and then $f_k \geq f_{min}$, if $k \geq N_3$. Since $f_k \leq f_{min}$ for all k, we get $f_k = f_{min}$ if $k \geq N_3$. □

We present some examples showing the basic ideas in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Example 2.2. Consider the optimization problem

$$\begin{cases} \min & f(x) := x_1 x_2 \\ s.t. & h(x) := (x_1^2 - 1)^2 + (x_2^2 - 1)^2 = 0, \\ & g(x) := x_1 + x_2 - 1 \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

The real variety $V_{\mathbb{R}}(h) = \{(\pm 1, \pm 1)\}$, and the feasible set consists of the unique point $(1, 1)$. Clearly, $f_{min} = 1$ and every $f_k \leq 1$. Let

$$\sigma_1 := \frac{1}{2}(x_1 + x_2 - 1)(x_1 - x_2)^2 \in Q_2(g),$$

$$\hat{f} := x_1 x_2 - 1 - \sigma_1 = \frac{1}{2}\left[(x_1^2 - 1)(x_1 - x_2 - 1) - (x_2^2 - 1)(x_1 - x_2 + 1) \right].$$

Letting

$$\sigma_2 = \frac{1}{4}\left((x_1^2 - 1)(x_1 - x_2 + 1) + (x_2^2 - 1)(x_1 - x_2 - 1) \right)^2,$$

we have

$$\hat{f}^2 + \sigma_2 = \left((x_1^2-1)^2 + (x_2^2-1)^2\right) \frac{(x_1-x_2)^2+1}{2} \in \langle h \rangle_6.$$

Note that

$$x_1x_2 - (1-\epsilon) = \hat{f} + \epsilon + \sigma_1.$$

For $\epsilon > 0$, let

$$\sigma_\epsilon := \epsilon \left(1 + \frac{\hat{f}}{2\epsilon}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{4\epsilon}\sigma_2 + \sigma_1.$$

Clearly, we have $\sigma_\epsilon \in Q_3(g)$ for all $\epsilon > 0$. One can verify that

$$\phi_\epsilon := x_1x_2 - (1-\epsilon) - \sigma_\epsilon = -\frac{1}{4\epsilon}(\hat{f}^2 + \sigma_2) \in \langle h \rangle_6.$$

This shows that $f_k \geq 1-\epsilon$ for all $k \geq 3$ and for all $\epsilon > 0$. Since each $f_k \leq 1$, we can get $f_k = 1$ for all $k \geq 3$, and the Lasserre's hierarchy converges in 3 steps. $\square$

Example 2.3. Let $f \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ be such that $f(0) = 0$. Consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} \min & f(x) \\ s.t. & h(x) := x_1^{2d} + \cdots + x_n^{2d} = 0. \end{cases}$$

Clearly, $f_{min} = 0$ and $f_k \leq 0$ for all k. There is no inequality constraining polynomials, and we can think that $g = 0$, as in (1.1). The objective f is constantly 0 on $V_{\mathbb{R}}(h) = \{0\}$. We can write f as

$$f = x_1f_1 + \cdots + x_nf_n, \quad f_1, \ldots, f_n \in \mathbb{R}[x].$$

There exists $\lambda > 0$ such that the form

$$\lambda(t_1^{2d} + \cdots + t_n^{2d}) - (t_1 + \cdots + t_n)^{2d}$$

in $(t_1, \ldots, t_n)$ is SOS. This is because $t_1^{2d} + \cdots + t_n^{2d}$ lies in the interior of the cone of SOS forms in n variables and of degree $2d$ (cf. [11, Proposition 5.3]). By replacing each t_i by x_if_i in the above, we know that

$$\varphi := \lambda((x_1f_1)^{2d} + \cdots + (x_nf_n)^{2d}) - f^{2d}$$

is an SOS polynomial in x. Clearly, the polynomial

$$\eta := \lambda\Big[\left(x_1^{2d} + \cdots + x_n^{2d}\right)\left(f_1^{2d} + \cdots + f_n^{2d}\right) - \left((x_1f_1)^{2d} + \cdots + (x_nf_n)^{2d}\right) \Big]$$

is SOS, and it holds that

$$f^{2d} + \varphi + \eta = \lambda(x_1^{2d} + \cdots + x_n^{2d})(f_1^{2d} + \cdots + f_n^{2d}) \in \langle h \rangle.$$

Suppose $\deg(f) = r$. Let

$$\sigma_\epsilon := \epsilon\left(1 + f/\epsilon + \frac{1}{2d}(f/\epsilon)^{2d}\right) + \frac{1}{2d}\epsilon^{1-2d}(\varphi + \eta).$$

Then, $\sigma_\epsilon \in Q_{dr}(0)$ for all $\epsilon > 0$, by Lemma 2.1. We also have

$$f + \epsilon = \sigma_\epsilon + \phi_\epsilon, \quad \text{where} \quad \phi_\epsilon := -\frac{1}{2d}\epsilon^{1-2d}(f^{2d} + \varphi + \eta).$$

Note that $\phi_\epsilon \in \langle h \rangle_{2dr}$ for all $\epsilon > 0$. Like before, we can get $f_k = 0$ for all $k \geq dr$. $\square$

The ideal $\langle h\rangle$ is *real* if $\langle h\rangle = I(V_{\mathbb{R}}(h)$ (cf. [1, Section 4.1]). When $\langle h\rangle$ is real and $V_{\mathbb{R}}(h)$ is finite, the optimal value f_k of the SOS program (1.2) is achievable for all k big enough. This can be shown as follows. Let σ_1 be from the proof of Theorem 1.1. We have seen there that $\hat{f} = f - f_{min} - \sigma_1$ identically vanishes on $V_{\mathbb{R}}(h)$. If $\langle h\rangle$ is real, then $\hat{f} \in I(V_{\mathbb{R}}(h) = \langle h\rangle$ and $f - f_{min} = \sigma_1 + \hat{f}$, which implies that $\gamma = f_{min}$ is feasible in (1.2) if k is big enough.

However, if the ideal $\langle h\rangle$ is not real, then the SOS program (1.2) might not achieve its optimal value f_k for any order k. For instance, consider

$$\min \quad x_1 \quad s.t. \quad x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \cdots + x_n^2 = 0.$$

For any $\phi \in \mathbb{R}[x]$, the polynomial $\varphi = x_1 - (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \cdots + x_n^2)\phi$ can not be SOS (because $\varphi(0) = 0, \nabla\varphi(0) \neq 0$, and 0 can not be a minimizer of φ), so (1.2) does not have a maximizer. However, from Example 2.3, we know $f_k = 0$ for all $k \geq 1$.

3. Optimization with general real varieties

This section is mostly to prove Theorem 1.2. We first prove a result that similar to Theorem 1.2 by using generators of the vanishing ideal $I(V_{\mathbb{R}}(h))$.

Let $h_1^{rad}, \ldots, h_t^{rad}$ be a set of generators for $I(V_{\mathbb{R}}(h))$, i.e.,

$$I(V_{\mathbb{R}}(h)) = \langle h_1^{rad}, \ldots, h_t^{rad}\rangle.$$

Denote $h^{rad} = (h_1^{rad}, \ldots, h_t^{rad})$. We define the truncated ideal $\langle h^{rad}\rangle_{2k}$ in the same way as for $\langle h\rangle_{2k}$. Clearly, the problem (1.1) is equivalent to

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rl} \min & f(x) \\ s.t. & h_i^{rad}(x) = 0 \, (i = 1, \ldots, t), \\ & g_j(x) \geq 0 \, (j = 1, \ldots, m_2). \end{array} \right. \tag{3.1}$$

The Lasserre's hierarchy of SOS relaxations for (3.1) is

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rl} f_k^{rad} := \max & \gamma \\ \text{s.t.} & f - \gamma = \phi + \sigma, \, \phi \in \langle h^{rad}\rangle_{2k}, \, \sigma \in Q_k(g). \end{array} \right. \tag{3.2}$$

We also have $f_k^{rad} \leq f_{min}$ for all k.

Theorem 3.1. *Let f_{min} and K, respectively, be the minimum and feasible set of (1.1). Suppose $I(V_{\mathbb{R}}(h)) = \langle h_1^{rad}, \ldots, h_t^{rad}\rangle$ and $I(K) = I(V_{\mathbb{R}}(h))$. Let f_k (resp., f_k^{rad}) be the optimal value of (1.2) (resp., (3.2)). Then, the sequence $\{f_k\}$ has finite convergence to f_{min} and if and only if $\{f_k^{rad}\}$ has finite convergence to f_{min}.*

Proof. First, we assume that $\{f_k^{rad}\}$ has finite convergence to f_{min}, say, $f_k^{rad} = f_{min}$ for all $k \geq N_1$. Note that K is also the feasible set of (3.1). By the condition that $I(K) = \langle h^{rad}\rangle$, we know the quotient set $Q_k(g)/\langle h^{rad}\rangle$ is closed for all k (cf. Laurent [7, Theorem 3.35] or Marshall [9, Theorem 3.1]). Let $\{(\gamma_i, \phi_i, \sigma_i)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence such that each triple $(\gamma_i, \phi_i, \sigma_i)$ is feasible for (3.2) with $k = N_1$ and $\gamma_i \to f_{min}$. Clearly, each $f - \gamma_i \in Q_{N_1}(g)/\langle h^{rad}\rangle$ and $f - \gamma_i \to f - f_{min}$. Hence, $f - f_{min} \in Q_{N_1}(g)/\langle h^{rad}\rangle$, i.e., there exists $\phi^* \in \langle h^{rad}\rangle$ and $\sigma^* \in Q_{N_1}(g)$ such that

$$f - f_{min} = \phi^* + \sigma^*.$$

Let $N_2 \geq N_1$ be such that $\phi^* \in \langle h^{rad}\rangle_{2N_2}$. Then, $(f_{min}, \phi^*, \sigma^*)$ is feasible for (3.2) with $k \geq N_2$. Hence, (3.2) achieves its optimum for all $k \geq N_2$. For every $\epsilon > 0$,

$$f - (f_{min} - \epsilon) = (\phi^* + \epsilon) + \sigma^*.$$

Since $\phi^* \in \langle h^{rad} \rangle = I(V_{\mathbb{R}}(h))$, ϕ^* identically vanishes on $V_{\mathbb{R}}(h)$. By Real Nullstellensatz (cf. [1, Corollary 4.1.8]), there exist an integer $\ell > 0$ and an SOS polynomial $a \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ such that

$$(\phi^*)^{2\ell} + a \in \langle h \rangle.$$

By Lemma 2.1, for all $c \geq \frac{1}{2\ell}$, the polynomial

$$\epsilon \left(1 + \frac{\phi^*}{\epsilon} + c \left(\frac{\phi^*}{\epsilon} \right)^{2\ell} \right) + c\epsilon^{1-2\ell} a$$

is SOS. Let $N_3 := \max(N_2, \ell \deg(\phi^*), \lceil \deg(a)/2 \rceil)$, then for all $\epsilon > 0$

$$\sigma_\epsilon := \epsilon \left(1 + \frac{\phi^*}{\epsilon} + c \left(\frac{\phi^*}{\epsilon} \right)^{2\ell} \right) + c\epsilon^{1-2\ell} a + \sigma^* \in Q_{N_3}(g),$$

$$\phi_\epsilon := \big(f - (f_{min} - \epsilon)\big) - \sigma_\epsilon = -c\epsilon^{1-2\ell}((\phi^*)^{2\ell} + a) \in \langle h \rangle.$$

Let $N_4 \geq N_3$ be such that $(\phi^*)^{2\ell} + a \in \langle h \rangle_{2N_4}$. Then, for all $\epsilon > 0$,

$$f - (f_{min} - \epsilon) = \sigma_\epsilon + \phi_\epsilon, \quad \sigma_\epsilon \in Q_{N_4}(g), \quad \phi_\epsilon \in \langle h \rangle_{2N_4}.$$

Like before, we can get $f_k = f_{min}$ for all $k \geq N_4$, i.e., $\{f_k\}$ has finite convergence to f_{min}.

Second, assume that $\{f_k\}$ has finite convergence to f_{min}, say, $f_k = f_{min}$ for all $k \geq M_1$. Then, for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exist $\phi_\epsilon \in \langle h \rangle_{2M_1}$, $\sigma_\epsilon \in Q_{M_1}(g)$ such that

$$f - (f_{min} - \epsilon) = \phi_\epsilon + \sigma_\epsilon.$$

Clearly, every ϕ_ϵ vanishes on $V_{\mathbb{R}}(h)$, and thus belongs to $I(V_{\mathbb{R}}(h))$. By $\langle h^{rad} \rangle = I(V_{\mathbb{R}}(h))$, we have $\phi_\epsilon \in \langle h^{rad} \rangle$. Note that $\deg(\phi_\epsilon) \leq 2M_1$ for all $\epsilon > 0$. Then, there exists $M_2 > 0$ such that

$$\phi_\epsilon \in \langle h^{rad} \rangle_{2M_2} \quad \text{for all} \quad \epsilon > 0.$$

(This can be implied by Corollary 8.3 of Dubé [2] or Proposition 1 of Mayr and Meyer [12].) Thus, we have $f_k^{rad} \geq f_{min} - \epsilon$ for all $k \geq M_2$ and all $\epsilon > 0$. This then implies $f_k^{rad} = f_{min}$ for all $k \geq M_2$, i.e., $\{f_k^{rad}\}$ has finite convergence to f_{min}. □

Theorem 1.2 can be proved by using Theorem 3.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let h^{rad} and f_k^{rad} be as in Theorem 3.1. By Theorem 3.1, $\{f_k\}$ has finite convergence to f_{min} and if and only if $\{f_k^{rad}\}$ has finite convergence to f_{min}. For the same reason, since (1.1) is equivalent to (1.5), $\{f'_k\}$ has finite convergence to f_{min} and if and only if $\{f_k^{rad}\}$ has finite convergence to f_{min}. This shows that $\{f_k\}$ has finite convergence to f_{min} and if and only if $\{f'_k\}$ has finite convergence to f_{min}. □

A direct consequence of of Theorem 1.2 is that we can reduce the number of equality constraints in polynomial optimization, while the finite convergence of Lasserre's hierarchy is not changed. As is well known, every real variety can be defined by a single equality. Let

$$h^{sq}(x) := h_1^2(x) + \cdots + h_{m_1}^2(x).$$

Then, (1.1) is equivalent to

$$\min \quad f(x) \quad s.t. \quad h^{sq}(x) = 0,\, g(x) \geq 0. \tag{3.3}$$

The Lasserre's sequence of SOS programs for (3.3) is

$$\left\{\begin{array}{llll} f_k^{sq} := \max & \gamma & \text{s.t.} & f-\gamma = \phi+\sigma \\ & & & \phi \in \langle h^{sq}\rangle_{2k}, \sigma \in Q_k(g). \end{array}\right. \tag{3.4}$$

If $I(K) = I(V_{\mathbb{R}}(h))$, by Theorem 1.2, we know $\{f_k\}$ has finite convergence to f_{min} and if and only if $\{f_k^{sq}\}$ has finite convergence to f_{min}. We show an example of this.

Example 3.2. Consider the optimization problem:

$$\left\{\begin{array}{ll} \min & f(x) := x_1x_2x_3 - 2x_3 \\ s.t. & h^{sq}(x) := (x_1^2-x_2)^2 + (x_1^3-x_3)^2 = 0. \end{array}\right. \tag{3.5}$$

It has no inequality constraints, and we can think that $g = 0$. Its feasible set is a curve that can be parameterized as (x_1, x_1^2, x_1^3). The minimum $f_{min} = -1$ is achieved at the point $(1,1,1)$. We show that the Lasserre's sequence $\{f_k^{sq}\}$ for (3.5) has finite convergence. Clearly, we have $f_k^{sq} \leq -1$ for all k. Let $\sigma^* := (x_1^3-1)^2$, which is SOS, and

$$\phi^* := (x_1^3-2)(x_3-x_1^3) + x_1x_3(x_2-x_1^2).$$

Then we have $f+1 = \sigma^*+\phi^*$ and $(\phi^*)^2 + a = h^{sq}\psi$ where

$$a = \Big(x_1x_3(x_3-x_1^3) - (x_1^3-2)(x_2-x_1^2)\Big)^2,$$

$$\psi = x_1^2x_3^2 + (x_1^3-2)^2.$$

For each $\epsilon > 0$, let

$$\sigma_\epsilon := \epsilon\left(1+\frac{\phi^*}{2\epsilon}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{4\epsilon}a + \sigma^*.$$

Clearly, $\sigma_\epsilon \in Q_6(0)$ for all $\epsilon > 0$. From the identity

$$f+1+\epsilon = \sigma_\epsilon + \frac{-\psi}{4\epsilon}h^{sq},$$

we can get $f_k^{sq} = -1$ for all $k \geq 6$. □

We show an application of Theorem 1.2 in gradient SOS relaxations for minimizing polynomials [13]. Consider the optimization problem

$$\min_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n} \quad f(x). \tag{3.6}$$

If (3.6) has a minimizer, then it is equivalent to

$$\min_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n} \quad f(x) \quad s.t. \quad \nabla f(x) = 0. \tag{3.7}$$

It was shown in [14] that the Lasserre's hierarchy for (3.7) always has finite convergence. Clearly, (3.7) is equivalent to

$$\min_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n} \quad f(x) \quad s.t. \quad \|\nabla f(x)\|_2^2 = 0. \tag{3.8}$$

The advantage of (3.8) over (3.7) is that (3.8) has a single equality constraint. By Theorem 1.2, the Lasserre's hierarchy of (3.8) also has finite convergence.

Example 3.3. ([3, 13]) Consider the polynomial optimization problem

$$\min_{x\in\mathbb{R}^2} \quad f(x) := x_1^2x_2^2(x_1^2+x_2^2-1).$$

The minimum $f_{min} = -1/27$ is achieved at $(\pm 1, \pm 1)/\sqrt{3}$. The standard Lasserre's hierarchy $\{f_k\}$ for the above does not converge to f_{min}. We have

$$\partial f/\partial x_1 = 2x_1x_2^2(2x_1^2+x_2^2-1), \quad \partial f/\partial x_2 = 2x_1^2x_2(x_1^2+2x_2^2-1).$$

This optimization problem is equivalent to

$$\min_{x\in\mathbb{R}^2} \quad f(x) \quad s.t. \quad \|\nabla f(x)\|_2^2 = 0$$

where $\|\nabla f(x)\|_2^2$ has the representation

$$4x_1^2x_2^2\Big(x_2^2(2x_1^2+x_2^2-1)^2 + x_1^2(x_1^2+2x_2^2-1)^2\Big).$$

Let $\sigma_1 := 3(x_1^2x_2^2-1/9)^2$ and $\hat{f} := f + 1/27 - \sigma_1$, then $\hat{f}$ vanishes identically on $V_{\mathbb{R}}(\nabla f)$. This can be verified by the identity

$$\hat{f}^2 + s = \|\nabla f\|_2^2\psi$$

where s, ψ are SOS polynomials given as follows:

$$a = x_1^2 - 1/3, \quad b = x_2^2 - 1/3,$$

$$s_1 = x_1^2x_2^2\Big(x_2^4(2a+b)^2 + x_1^4(a+2b)^2\Big), \quad s_2 = 4(a+b)^2(a^2+b^2) + a^4 + b^4,$$

$$s = \frac{9}{2}(s_1(a^2+b^2) + x_1^4x_2^4s_2), \quad \psi = \frac{9}{8}(x_1^2+x_2^2)(a^2+b^2).$$

For all $\epsilon > 0$, we have

$$\sigma_\epsilon := \epsilon\left(1 + \hat{f}/2\epsilon\right)^2 + \frac{s}{4\epsilon} + \sigma_1 \in Q_8(0).$$

Note that

$$f - (-1/27 - \epsilon) = \sigma_\epsilon + \phi_\epsilon, \quad \text{where} \quad \phi_\epsilon := -\frac{1}{4\epsilon}\|\nabla f\|_2^2\psi.$$

We have $\phi_\epsilon \in \langle \|\nabla f\|_2^2 \rangle_{16}$ for all $\epsilon > 0$. Let f_k^{grad} be the optimal value of the k-th Lasserre's SOS relaxation for (3.8) applied to this example. Like before, we can get $f_k^{grad} = -1/27$ for all $k \geq 8$. □

4. OPTIMIZATION OVER FINITE SEMIALGEBRAIC SETS

In this section, we consider the optimization problem (1.1) whose feasible set K is a finite set while the real variety $V_{\mathbb{R}}(h)$ might not. To apply Theorem 1.1, a natural idea is to introduce new variables $z_1, \ldots, z_{m_2}$. Then the feasible set K can be equivalently defined by the equations

$$h(x) = 0, \quad g_1(x) - z_1^2 = \cdots = g_{m_2}(x) - z_{m_2}^2 = 0.$$

Clearly, K is a finite set if and only if the above equations have finitely many solutions in $\mathbb{R}^{n+m_2}$. If K is finite, by Theorem 1.1, the Lasserre's hierarchy has finite convergence if we use the above equivalent polynomial equalities in both $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ and $z_1, \ldots, z_{m_2}$. However, this approach introduces new variables $z_1, \ldots, z_{m_2}$, which typically make the resulting SOS relaxations very expensive to solve. To get a finitely convergent hiearchy of SOS relaxations that only uses the original polynomials in x, we typically need stronger relaxations than (1.2).

Let $Pr_k(g)$ be the k-th truncated quadratic module generated by the set of all possible cross products:

$$g_1, \ \ldots, \ g_{m_2}, \, g_1 g_2, \ \ldots, \ g_{m_1 - 1} g_{m_1}, \ \ldots, \ g_1 g_2 \cdots g_{m_2}.$$

The set $Pr_k(g)$ is also called the k-th *truncated preordering* generated by $g = (g_1, \ldots, g_{m_2})$ (cf. [4, 7, 10]). Consider the sequence of SOS programs:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} f_k^{pre} := \max & \gamma \\ \qquad\quad \text{s.t.} & f - \gamma = \phi + \sigma, \ \phi \in \langle h \rangle_{2k}, \ \sigma \in Pr_k(g). \end{array} \right. \tag{4.1}$$

If the feasible set K is compact, then $\{f_k^{pre}\}$ converges to f_{min} as k tends to infinity (cf. [3, 18]).

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose the feasible set of (1.1) is finite. Let f_k^{pre}, f_{min} be as above. Then, the sequence $\{f_k^{pre}\}$ has finite convergence to f_{min}.*

Proof. The feasible set of (1.1) consists of finitely many real points, say, $u_1, \ldots, u_N \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Let $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_N \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ be the interpolating polynomials such that $\varphi_i(u_j) = 0$ for $i \neq j$ and $\varphi_i(u_j) = 1$ for $i = j$. Then, $f(u_i) - f_{min} \geq 0$ for all i. Let

$$\sigma_1 := \sum_{i=1}^{N} (f(u_i) - f_{min}) \varphi_i^2.$$

Then the polynomial $\hat{f} := f - f_{min} - \sigma_1$ vanishes identically on K. By Positivstellensatz (cf. [1, Corollary 4.4.3]), there exist $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\sigma_2 \in Pr_{N_1}(g)$, for some N_1, such that

$$\hat{f}^{2\ell} + \sigma_2 \in \langle h \rangle_{2N_1}.$$

For all $\epsilon > 0$, denote

$$\sigma_\epsilon := \epsilon \left(1 + \hat{f}/\epsilon + \frac{1}{2\ell} (\hat{f}/\epsilon)^{2\ell} \right) + \frac{1}{2\ell} \epsilon^{1-2\ell} \sigma_2 + \sigma_1.$$

By Lemma 2.1, the polynomial

$$1 + \hat{f}/\epsilon + \frac{1}{2\ell} (\hat{f}/\epsilon)^{2\ell}$$

is SOS. Hence, there exists $N_2 \geq N_1$ such that $\sigma_\epsilon \in Pr_{N_2}(g)$ for all $\epsilon > 0$. Let

$$\phi_\epsilon := f - (f_{min} - \epsilon) - \sigma_\epsilon = -c\epsilon^{1-2\ell} (\hat{f}^{2\ell} + \sigma_2) \in \langle h \rangle_{2N_1}.$$

Clearly, we have

$$f - (f_{min} - \epsilon) = \sigma_\epsilon + \phi_\epsilon.$$

and $\phi_\epsilon \in \langle h \rangle_{2N_1}$ for all $\epsilon > 0$. Like before, we can get $f_k^{pre} = f_{min}$ for all $k \geq N_2$, which completes the proof. □

We conclude this section with an example.

Example 4.2. Consider the optimization problem

$$\begin{array}{rl} \min & -x_1^2 - x_2^2 \\ s.t. & x_1^3 \geq 0, x_2^3 \geq 0, -x_1 - x_2 - x_1 x_2 \geq 0. \end{array}$$

Let f, g_1, g_2, g_3 be the objective, the first, second and third constraining polynomials respectively. The feasible set consists of the single point $(0, 0)$, and the global minimum is $f_{min} = 0$. Clearly, $f_k^{pre} \leq 0$ for all k. Denote SOS polynomials:

$$s_1 = 4(x_2^4 + x_2^6 + x_2^8), \ s_2 = 4(x_1^4 + x_1^6 + x_1^8),$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_0 &= (x_1^2-x_2^2)^4+6(x_1^4-x_2^4)^2,\ \sigma_{12}=32(x_1^2+x_2^2+x_1^4+x_2^4+x_1^6+x_2^6),\\
\sigma_1 &= 8\Big(x_1^6(x_2+1/2+4x_2^2)+x_1^4(x_1^2/2+2x_1x_2+2x_2^2)+\\
&\qquad x_1^4(2x_2+1/2+2x_2^2)+x_1^2(x_1^2/2+x_1x_2+4x_2^2)+s_1\Big),\\
\sigma_2 &= 8\Big(x_2^6(x_1+1/2+4x_1^2)+x_2^4(x_2^2/2+2x_2x_1+2x_1^2)+\\
&\qquad x_2^4(2x_1+1/2+2x_1^2)+x_2^2(x_2^2/2+x_2x_1+4x_1^2)+s_2\Big),\\
\sigma_3 &= 8\Big((x_1^8+x_2^8+x_1^7+x_2^7+x_1^6+x_2^6)+4x_1^2x_2^2(x_1^2+x_2^2+x_1^4+x_2^4+x_1^6+x_2^6)\Big).
\end{aligned}
$$

Letting $\sigma := \sigma_0+g_1\sigma_1+g_2\sigma_2+g_1g_2\sigma_{12}+g_3\sigma_3 \in Pr_6(g)$, we have

$$f^4+\sigma=0.$$

This implies that f vanishes identically on the feasible set. For $\epsilon>0$, let

$$\sigma_\epsilon := \epsilon\left(1+\frac{f}{\epsilon}+\frac{f^4}{4\epsilon^4}\right)+\frac{1}{4\epsilon^3}\sigma.$$

By Lemma 2.1, we know $\sigma_\epsilon \in Pr_6(g)$ for all $\epsilon>0$. Clearly, we have

$$f-f_{min}+\epsilon=\sigma_\epsilon+\phi_\epsilon \quad (\text{where} \quad \phi_\epsilon := -\frac{1}{4\epsilon^3}(f^4+\sigma)=0).$$

Like before, we can get $f_k^{pre}=0$ for all $k\geq 6$. □

REFERENCES

[1] J. Bochnak, M. Coste and M-F. Roy. *Real Algebraic Geometry*, Springer, 1998.

[2] T. Dubé. The structure of polynomial ideals and Gröbner bases. *SIAM J. Comput.* 19(1990), no. 4, pp. 750-773.

[3] J. B. Lasserre. Global optimization with polynomials and the problem of moments. *SIAM J. Optim.* 11(3): 796-817, 2001.

[4] J.B. Lasserre. *Moments, Positive Polynomials and Their Applications*, Imperial College Press, 2009.

[5] J.B. Lasserre, M. Laurent, and P. Rostalski. Semidefinite characterization and computation of zero-dimensional real radical ideals. *Found. Comput. Math.* 8(2008), pp. 607-647.

[6] M. Laurent. Semidefinite representations for finite varieties. *Mathematical Programming* 109(2007), pp. 1-26.

[7] M. Laurent. Sums of squares, moment matrices and optimization over polynomials. *Emerging Applications of Algebraic Geometry, Vol. 149 of IMA Volumes in Mathematics and its Applications* (Eds. M. Putinar and S. Sullivant), Springer, pages 157-270, 2009.

[8] M. Laurent and P. Rostalski. The Approach of Moments for Polynomial Equations. *Handbook on Semidefinite, Cone and Polynomial Optimization* (eds. M. Anjos and J.B. Lasserre), Volume 166, pp. 25–60, International Series in Operations Research & Management Science, Springer, 2012.

[9] M. Marshall. Optimization of polynomial functions. *Canad. Math. Bull.*, 46(2003), no. 4, 575-587.

[10] M. Marshall. *Positive Polynomials and Sums of Squares*. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 146. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008.

[11] M. Marshall. Representation of non-negative polynomials, degree bounds and applications to optimization. *Canad. J. Math.*, 61 (2009), pp. 205-221.

[12] E. Mayr and A. Meyer. The complexity of the word problems for commutative semigroups and polynomial ideals. *Advances in Mathematics* 46 (1982), pp. 305-329.

[13] J. Nie, J. Demmel and B. Sturmfels. Minimizing polynomials via sum of squares over the gradient ideal. *Mathematical Programming* 106(2006), Ser. A, no. 3, pp. 587-606.

[14] J. Nie. An exact Jacobian SDP relaxation for polynomial optimization. *Mathematical Programming*, to appear.

[15] J. Nie. Certifying convergence of Lasserre's hierarchy via flat truncation. *Mathematical Programming*, to appear.

[16] M. Putinar. Positive polynomials on compact semi-algebraic sets. *Ind. Univ. Math. J.* 42 (1993), pp. 969-984.

[17] B. Reznick. Some concrete aspects of Hilbert's 17th problem. In *Contemp. Math.*, Vol. 253, pp. 251-272. American Mathematical Society, 2000.

[18] K. Schmüdgen. The K-moment problem for compact semialgebraic sets. *Math. Ann.* 289 (1991), 203-206.

[19] G. Stengle. Complexity estimates for the Schmdgen Positivstellensatz. *J. Complexity* 12 (1996), no. 2, 167-174.

Department of Mathematics, University of California San Diego, 9500 Gilman Drive, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA.
E-mail address: `njw@math.ucsd.edu`